

InnoUrba Final Conference feedback

17 persons answered the survey on the Final Conference.

1. How did the conference meet your expectations?

To some extent	16.67%
Well	75%
It exceeded them	8.33%

2. Did you find the Conference useful for your own work and organisation?

Not very useful	8.33%
Quite useful	66.67%
Very useful	25%

3. Were the topics of the speakers relevant from your perspective?

Not very relevant	8.33%
Quite relevant	50%
Very relevant	41.67%

4. What is Your opinion on the speakers and presentations?

Speakers and presentations were considered as average, good and excellent.

5. Please provide an overall grade from 4 to 10 to the conference:

7	18.18%
8	27.27%
9	45.45%
10	9.09%

6. How would you assess the following:

1. Conference registration procedures	Good
2. Conference information of website	Good
3. Pre-conference communication with organisers	Good
4. Registration procedures at the Conference	Good
5. Materials provided, including Conference Programme	Good
6. The structure of the Conference	Good
7. Length of time allocated to presentations	Good
8. Duration of the entire conference	Good
9. The arrangements made for you if you were a speaker	Good
10. Accommodation	Excellent
11. Catering	Good
12. Conference facilities including the poster exhibition	Good
13. City of Oulu reception and other social events	Excellent
14. Help with finding your way around	Excellent

7. Was the cost acceptable

Yes	100%
-----	------

8. How was your impression about the InnoUrba project and its results?

Average	30%
Good	50%
Excellent	20%

9. Would you be interested in participating a same kind of an international development project?

Yes 100%

10. Would you be interested in attending project conferences in the future?

Yes 80%

Depends on topic 20%

11. Would you be interested in participating in such projects in the future?

Yes 70%

Depends on topic 30%

12. What type of institution do you work for?

Academic 10%

Commercial 10%

Public sector 80%

13. How did you hear about this conference?

Discussion list 0%

Colleague / through own organisation 80%

Newspaper 0%

Journal 0%

Web search 0%

Professional newsletter 0%

Other 20%

14. What motivated you to attend?

Speakers 14.29%

Interest in international land-use planning activities 28.57%

Interest in the project 14.29%

Interest in the topic 28.57%

Interest in new collaboration possibilities 14.29%

15. What else would you have liked to have seen covered at this conference?

- More concrete examples.
- Infrastructure in the planning process.

16. What subject areas did you find particularly useful?

- Presentation of Anebjerg project in Skanderborg.
- Sustainability in urban planning.
- How to activate citizens into city planning and networking.
- Kai Warttinen had a very innovative presentation, I liked it. He showed us some new ideas about future.
- Osmo Soininvaara had some points, though I myself have other opinions about cities.
- Environmental topics and planning.

17. In terms of future conferences, are there any other related subject areas you would be interested in?

- Partnerships and collaboration in planning.
- Retail planning.
- How do we make small towns as interesting as in other parts of the EU.
- City planning in all and co-operation with other parties on architecture and city planning.
- Infrastructure.

18. Other comments, special points of interest and development ideas:

- It would be nice to have slideshows that the speakers used in advance.
- 20 minutes per a presentation would be sufficient. It would make speakers sharpen their presentations.